

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/420,965	10/20/1999	ELLEN M. HEATH	1074.010US1	3488
7.	590 12/31/2002			
Daniel J. Polglaze			EXAMINER	
09/420,965 10/20/1999 7590 12/31/2002		GORDON, BRIAN R		
Minneapolis, M	IN 55458-1009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	1 a
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	11.2
Advison, Astion	09/420,965	HEATH ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Brian R. Gordon	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
HE REPLY FILED 18 December 2002 FAILS TO PLA herefore, further action by the applicant is required to nal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appl xamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this a (1) a timely filed amendment	pplication. A proper reply to a which places the application in	
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expirately 00 ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WO 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (1) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the content of the period of the per	is Advisory Action, or (2) the date so re later than SIX MONTHS from the IAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS the date on which the petition under d of extension and the corresponding of the shortened statutory period fo Office later than three months after the control of the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period statutory period for the shortened statutory period statutory perio	mailing date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ext g amount of the fee. The appropriate ext reply originally set in the final Office acti	P ension tension on; or
. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C			
2. igotimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or sea	rch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c)	n in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) M they present additional claims without cano	eling a corresponding number	er of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>			
. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	lld be allowable if submitted i	n a separate, timely filed amendm	ent
The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been	considered but does NOT place t	he
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which were newly	
'. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,12 and 18-22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ d	sapproved by the Examiner.	
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	(s)	
D Other:			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amended carms now require a non-circular flanges. The shape of the flange was not previously limited to a non-circular shape; therefore the examiner's previous search was not limited to any particular flange shape. No specific shape was previously required of the vessel and cap flanges.

7. TUNG
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 112